RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JAN 2 0 2005

PATENT RESPONSE

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No.:

10/719,207

Filing Date:

November 21, 2003

Inventor:

Brian Lange

Title:

Vibrating Livestock Prod with Pneumatic Actuation

Examiner:

Yvonne Renee Abbott

Art Unit:

3644

Attorney Docket:

PPCI-32176 (13270.0088)

Confirmation No.:

1965

Customer No.:

022202

CERTIFICATION UNDER 37 CFR 1.8(a) and 1.10

I hereby certify that, on the date shown below, this correspondence is being:

Mailing deposited with the United States Postal Service in an envelope addressed to Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for

Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

37 CFR 1.8(a) with sufficient postage as first class mail.

37 CFR 1.10 ☐ As "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" Mailing Label No.

Transmission

transmitted by facsimile to Fax No. (703) 872-9306 addressed to Examiner Yvonne Rence Abbott at the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.

Date: /- 2005

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Dear Sir:

Introductory Comments begin on:

page 2 of 14

Amended Claims begin on:

page 3 of 14

Remarks begin on:

page 12 of 14

Conclusion begins on:

page 13 of 14

Extension of Time begins on:

page 14 of 14

MKE/1006785v1

1 of 14

USSN 10/719,207

PATENT RESPONSE

INTRODUCTORY COMMENTS

After careful review, Applicant hereby responds to a December 23, 2004 Restriction Requirement regarding the above-referenced patent application. In view of this Response, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of this application.

Applicant has not added new matter with this Response and intends the scope of the invention and claims to be the same before and after this Response. Indeed, Applicant only offers this Response to clarify the invention for the Examiner and to assist the Examiner's understanding of the same. More specifically, Applicant has not intended this Response to effectuate a narrowing of the claims, foreclose techniques that are not reasonably foreseeable at this time, or effect the applicability and scope of the Doctrine of Equivalents.